Case	2:14-cv-05533-MMM-AGR Document 18	Filed 09/18/14 Page 1 of 12 Page ID #:62
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11 12	ULTRA INTERNATIONAL MUSIC PUBLISHING, LLC and ULTRA RECORDS, LLC,) Case No. 2:14-cv-05533-MMM-AGR
13	Plaintiffs,)) DEFENDANT AND) COUNTERPOL
14	V.) COUNTERCLAIMANT) MICHELLE PHAN'S
15	MICHELLE PHAN,) COUNTERCLAIM
16	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
17	MICHELLE PHAN,	— {
18	Counterclaimant,	
19		
20	v.	
21	ULTRA INTERNATIONAL MUSIC	
22	PUBLISHING, LLC and ULTRA RECORDS, LLC,	
23	Counterdefendants	
24 25		}
25 26		
20 27		
28		
20		

Case	2:14-cv-05533-MMM-AGR Document 18 Filed 09/18/14 Page 2 of 12 Page ID #:63			
1	Counterclaimant Michelle Phan ("Phan"), as and for her counterclaims against			
2	Ultra International Music Publishing, LLC and Ultra Records, LLC (collectively			
3	"Ultra"), alleges as follows:			
4				
5	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
6	1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Counterclaim pursuant to 28 U.S.C.			
7	§ 1367(a).			
8	2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to principles of ancillary			
9	venue.			
10				
11	THE PARTIES			
12	3. Phan is an individual residing in Los Angeles, California.			
13	4. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that			
14	Counterdefendant Ultra International Music Publishing, LLC is a New York limited			
15	liability company with its principal place of business in New York, New York.			
16	5. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that			
17	Counterdefendant Ultra Records, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its			
18	principal place of business in New York, New York.			
19	6. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times			
20	relevant hereto and in doing all that is alleged herein, each Counterdefendant was an			
21	agent or employee of the other Counterdefendants, acting within the scope of such			
22	agency or employment, directing, ratifying, or condoning the acts or omissions of			
23	these Counterdefendants alleged herein, and with the knowledge of each			
24	Counterdefendant attributable to the other Counterdefendant.			
25				
26	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS			
27	7. Phan is a beauty and lifestyle celebrity and entrepreneur with a global			
28	audience. She has approximately seven million subscribers to her YouTube channel,			
	-1-			

placing her in the top 1% globally on this social media platform. Phan receives
income from YouTube derived from, among other things, advertisements that appear
in association with her videos.

8. Phan's YouTube videos feature demonstrations of make-up techniques
and other beauty and lifestyle tips. Her videos typically incorporate a broad range of
music in the background for texture only; the music is never the focus of the video.

9. Phan's videos are so popular, and her fan base is so dedicated, that she
has been able to build a significant business through advertisements that appear in and
around her videos, and through large-scale partnerships with major media companies
and brand advertisers.

For example, Phan has a contract with Endemol Beyond, a wholly-owned 10. 11 division of Endemol North America, part of the second largest independent television 12 company in the world. Phan also has a contract with L'Oreal Cosmetics, one of the 13 world's largest cosmetics manufacturers. These partnerships and others represent 14 significant monetary commitments from Phan's partners and significant revenue to 15 Phan. They rely on Phan's ability to reach millions of potential consumers through 16 her active YouTube channel, and depend upon Phan's YouTube channel remaining 17 active and unencumbered. 18

11. Due to the popularity of Phan's videos, record labels have paid Phan to 19 use their artists' music as background in her YouTube videos and to include in the 20 video a link to the iTunes store (which allows consumers to purchase the artist's music 21 immediately). Incorporating this iTunes link drives significant awareness of the 22 artist's music, and significant traffic to the iTunes store. Additionally, because 23 rankings on the influential Billboard charts are based, in part, on data from YouTube 24 (in addition to other sources), the significant viewership of Phan's videos helps record 25 labels in their efforts to see their music rise up in the charts. 26

- 27
- 28

Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that, like other record
 labels, Ultra too wanted Phan to use the music of artists on its label as background
 music in her YouTube videos along with an iTunes link.

-

13. Beginning in July of 2009, Phan contacted Jason Kilgore, Ultra's Senior
New Media Manager, and asked him for permission to use the music of Kaskade, one
of Ultra's artists, in her YouTube videos. In her electronic communication to Kilgore,
Phan pointed out that she has several videos with more than 1,000,000 views (and
some with over 2,000,000 views) and offered, in consideration for the right to use
Kaskade's music in her videos, to credit the musician and include an iTunes purchase
link in the video to make it easier for her subscribers to purchase Ultra's music.

14. Kilgore wrote in response that he was aware of Phan, that Ultra was
"really happy to see you supporting Kaskade," and that Ultra was "more than happy to
13 let [Phan] use this content."

Phan and Kilgore then began to discuss in writing how this agreement 15. 14 would work in connection with YouTube's "Content ID System." The way 15 YouTube's Content ID System worked was as follows: Owners of copyrighted 16 content (including record companies) would enter into an agreement with YouTube to 17 use its Content ID System. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that 18 Ultra entered into such a contract. The owner of the content would then input all of its 19 copyrighted material (in Ultra's case, songs) into YouTube's Content ID System, 20 which would then search YouTube for matching content. Every time the Content ID 21 System identified a video containing content that matched the copyrighted material 22 uploaded by a content owner, YouTube would put a "claim" on the YouTube video 23 that contained matching content. 24

16. In 2009, content owners had varying arrangements with YouTube
regarding their recourse when the Content ID System triggered a claim based on a
video containing copyrighted material uploaded by the owner. For some owners,
whenever the Content ID System triggered a claim, they would automatically receive a

-3-

specified share of any advertising revenue generated by the "claimed" video. Phan is 1 informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Ultra had such an arrangement with 2 YouTube. 3

17. As further confirmation that Ultra agreed to allow Phan to use its music 4 (in consideration for her crediting the musician and including an iTunes purchase 5 link), Kilgore promised Phan in writing that whenever YouTube made a claim on one 6 of Phan's videos using a Kaskade song controlled by Ultra, he would release that 7 claim. 8

18. Kilgore then offered to send, and did send, to Phan a promotional 9 package of Ultra CDs featuring Kaskade and other artists. He said he was sending the 10 CDs "to show [Ultra's] thanks" for Phan using Kaskade's music in her YouTube 11 videos. Kilgore went on to say in an electronic communication sent a few days later 12 that if there was any other music by Ultra artists that Phan liked, she should just let 13 him know and he would send it to her. 14

19. Only a few days later, Kilgore again thanked Phan for using another of 15 Kaskade's songs in one of her YouTube videos and further stated: 16

especially thanks for including the iTunes buy link in the description and a title bar at the beginning. This is the perfect way to incorporate any of our videos.

(Emphasis added.) 20

17

18

19

28

20. In August 2009, Phan and Kilgore further communicated regarding 21 Phan's use of music by Ultra artists (including but not limited to Kaskade) in her 22 YouTube videos. Part of this came in the context of Phan asking Kilgore to release 23 "claims" made by YouTube on behalf of Ultra in connection with Phan's use of music 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 //

by Ultra artists as background music in her videos. On August 11, 2009, Kilgore
stated the following to Phan:

Also just let me know if this happens again in the future and you need me to release a claim. This process happens automatically on youtube's back end, but I can release *whatever you may need*.

7 (Emphasis added.)

3

4

5

6

8 21. The agreement between Ultra and Phan covered not only Kaskade, but all
9 Ultra artists, as shown by Kilgore's January 2010 electronic communication to Phan in
10 which he stated: "If you use Ultra content please let me know when the video goes
11 live so that I can make sure it doesn't get claimed." Phan is informed and believes,
12 and thereon alleges, that Kilgore had express and/or implied authorization to enter into
13 this agreement on behalf of Ultra and/or that the agreement was ratified by Ultra.

Over the next four years, Phan – relying on her agreement with Kilgore –
continued to use master recordings and compositions of Ultra artists as background
music in her videos and, whenever YouTube indicated that Ultra had made a claim,
she would contact Kilgore or others at Ultra who would immediately release the claim
(whether it related to music by Kaskade or any other Ultra artist). This happened on
multiple occasions.

23. During this four year period, Ultra representatives offered suggestions to 20 Phan as to particular tracks by Ultra artists that she should use as background music in 21 her videos. A number of Ultra representatives, including Ultra's Senior Director of 22 Marketing and PR and Senior Manager of Interactive Marketing wrote to Phan that 23 they loved what she was doing with the music by Ultra's artists. Other Ultra 24 representatives sent Phan music tracks by Ultra artists that they suggested that she use 25 in her YouTube videos, asking only that she post a link to purchase for her 26 subscribers. Ultra representatives posted comments to Phan's YouTube videos 27 thanking Phan for helping to support Ultra artists. 28

-5-

24. Consistent with her agreement with Ultra, whenever Phan incorporated
 music from Ultra artists in her videos, she credited the artist and included an iTunes
 purchase link. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that during the time
 her videos have been posted on YouTube, Ultra has received substantial financial
 benefit from purchases of music by Ultra artists through the iTunes link included by
 Phan in her videos.

25. Phan has received tremendous support for her videos from Ultra artists,
including Kaskade and Late Night Alumni. These artists encouraged Phan and gave
her permission – sometimes directly and sometimes through her representatives – to
use their compositions and master recordings as background music in Phan's
YouTube videos because the videos provided substantial and valuable publicity for
them. These artists sometimes provided Phan with digital copies of their songs to be
used by Phan in her YouTube videos.

14 26. On March 18, 2014, Ultra's General Counsel wrote to Phan and insisted
15 for the first time that any use by Phan of music by Ultra's artists (including all the
16 songs that Ultra had allowed Phan to use and which Phan had used for several years
17 with Ultra's knowledge and approval) was "unauthorized" and demanded that she
18 cease and desist from using any music from Ultra's artists.

27. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that in June and July 19 of 2014, Ultra on three separate occasions, sent to YouTube takedown notices under 20 the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA") for twelve of Phan's videos 21 containing music by Ultra artists even though Ultra had previously agreed that Phan 22 could use music by Ultra artists in those videos. In each of the cases, when Ultra sent 23 the takedown notice, YouTube removed the challenged video, thereby cutting off any 24 advertising revenue to Phan. One of the videos subject to Ultra's takedown notice is a 25 video that, years earlier, had been claimed by Ultra and then specifically released by 26 Ultra once Phan notified Kilgore about it. 27

28

28. Phan served DMCA counter-notifications for each of the takedown
 notices. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that if the filer of the
 takedown notice does not take action in response to the counter-notifications, the
 videos are reinstated. Phan's videos that were subject to the first set of takedown
 notices were reinstated by YouTube within 21 days of the date those takedown notices
 were served, suggesting that Ultra did not respond to Phan's counter-notifications.
 The remaining challenged videos have not yet been reinstated.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Declaratory Relief)

8

9

10

11

[Against All Counterdefendants]

12 29. Phan hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of
13 Paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Counterclaim as if fully set forth herein.

30. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> is a non-exhaustive list of Phan's videos
that use music by Ultra artists pursuant to Phan's express and/or implied agreement
with Ultra (the "Authorized Videos"). For each of these videos, Phan has credited the
artist and included an iTunes purchase link pursuant to the agreement.

31. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Ultra now
contends that (1) the Authorized Videos infringe Ultra's copyrights in and to various
compositions and master recordings, and (2) if Ultra ever gave Phan permission to use
those compositions and master recordings, Ultra has revoked that permission.

32. Phan, on the other hand, contends that (1) she received from Ultra,
Ultra's consent to use compositions and master recordings by Ultra artists in the
Authorized Videos; (2) Ultra gave its consent pursuant to an express and/or implied
agreement; (3) Ultra's consent was reiterated through several years of explicit support
and coordination around Phan's use of the compositions and master recordings; (4) in
exchange for these permissions, Phan provided bargained-for consideration to Ultra
(e.g., credit to the artist, promotion of Ultra's music through its exposure to Phan's

considerable fan base, and a clearly posted and valuable link to iTunes, from where
the viewer could immediately purchase the music); and (5) accordingly, under
controlling law, Ultra cannot revoke its consent.

33. There is an actual and substantial controversy between Plaintiff and
Defendant as to whether Ultra has irrevocably licensed its music for the Authorized
Videos.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of Section 512(f) of Digital Millennium Copyright Act) [Against All Counterdefendants]

34. Phan hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of
Paragraphs 1 through 28 and 30 of this Counterclaim as if fully set forth herein.

35. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the takedown
notices sent to YouTube by Ultra were prepared and sent in bad faith, given Ultra's
express and/or implied agreement with Phan that she could use Ultra's music in her
videos in exchange for Phan's promotion of the Ultra music by using it as background
in her videos, crediting the artist, and including an iTunes purchase link.

36. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Ultra has
knowingly materially misrepresented that Phan's use of compositions and master
recordings by Ultra artists in Phan's videos is infringing, within the meaning of
17 U.S.C. § 512(f).

37. As a direct and proximate result of Ultra's bad faith actions, Phan has
been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. In addition, Phan is entitled to her
reasonable attorney's fees prosecuting this claim.

25 ^{\\} 26 ^{\\}

7

8

9

10

- 27
- 28 \\

Case	2:14-cv-05533-MMM-AGR Document 18 Filed 09/18/14 Page 10 of 12 Page ID #:71			
1	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
2	(Intentional Interference With Contract)			
3	[Against All Counterdefendants]			
4	38. Phan hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of			
5	Paragraphs 1 through 28, 30, and 35 through 36 of this Counterclaim as if fully set			
6	forth herein.			
7	39. Phan has a valid contract with YouTube, by which she has a YouTube			
8	channel, participates in the YouTube Partners program, and receives substantial sums			
9	of revenue related to YouTube-sold advertisements that appear on her YouTube			
10	channel.			
11	40. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Ultra is aware of			
12	Phan's contractual relationship with YouTube.			
13	41. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Ultra's bad faith			
14	conduct, as alleged above, was designed to disrupt, and has in fact disrupted, Phan's			
15	contractual relationship with YouTube.			
16	42. As a direct and proximate result of Ultra's bad faith actions, Phan has			
17	been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.			
18	43. Phan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Ultra's actions			
19	were taken with fraud, oppression, and malice. Punitive damages in an amount			
20	according to proof therefore should be awarded to Phan and against Ultra.			
21				
22	WHEREFORE, Counterclaimant prays as follows:			
23	1. For a declaratory judgment that:			
24	A. Ultra issued a non-revocable license to Phan for the Authorized			
25	Videos;			
26	B. The Authorized Videos do not infringe on any Ultra copyright.			
27	2. For damages according to proof;			
28	3. For punitive damages according to proof;			
	-9-			

Case	2:14-cv-05533	3-MMM-AGR	Document 18	Filed 09/18/14	Page 11 of 12	Page ID #:72
1	4.	For costs ar	nd attorney's fe	es incurred her	ein;	
2	5.	5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.			and proper.	
3						
4	Dated: Sep	tember 17, 2	014	GRODSK	Y & OLECKI I	LP
5	-			Allen B. G	rodsky	
6						
7				By _// Al	Allen B. Groo len B. Grodsky	lsky //
8					for Defendant a limant Michelle	
9				Countercla	umant Michelle	e Phan
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26 27						
27 28						
Zδ				10		
				-10-		

Case	2:14-cv-05533-MMM-AGR Document 18 F	iled 09/18/14 Page 12 of 12 Page ID #:73				
1	DEMAND F	OR JURY TRIAL				
2						
3	Counterclaimant Michelle Phan hereby demands trial by jury of this matter.					
4						
5	Dated: September 17, 2014	GRODSKY & OLECKI LLP Allen B. Grodsky				
6		Then D. Grousky				
7		Dy // Allon D. Gradelay //				
8		By // Allen B. Grodsky // Allen B. Grodsky				
9	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Michelle Phan					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		-11-				
	Ш					