22STCV18905

Assigned for all purposes to: Spring Street Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Amy Hogue

Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 06/09/2022 02:24 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by R. Lozano, Deputy Clerk

1	FRANK H. KIM, State Bar No. 264609	
2	fkim@kim-legal.com KIM LEGAL, APC	
3	3435 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90010	
4	Telephone: (323) 482-3300 Facsimile: (866) 652-7819	
5	HELEN U. KIM, State Bar No. 260195	
6	helen@helenkimlaw.com HELEN KIM LAW, APC 3435 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2700	
7	Los Angeles, CA 90010	
8	Telephone: (323) 487-9151 Facsimile: (866) 652-7819	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Ashley Funches	
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES
12		
13	ASHLEY FUNCHES, an individual,	Case No.: 228TCV18905
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:
15	v.	(1) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages
16	ASHLEY N. FRANGIPANE, a/k/a HALSEY,	(1) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages(2) Failure to Pay Wages on a Timely Basis
17	an individual; LEAVING THINGS BEHIND TOURING, INC., foreign corporation;	(3) Failure to Reimburse Business Expenses(4) Waiting Time Penalties
18	HALSEY MUSIC, LLC, a foreign company;	(5) Disability Discrimination (FEHA)
19	and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	(6) Failure to Accommodate (FEHA)(7) Failure to Engage (FEHA)
20	Defendants.	(8) Retaliation (FEHA)
21		(9) Failure to Prevent (FEHA)(10) Violation of Labor Code § 1102.5
22		Demand for Jury Trial
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff files this action against defendants Ashley N. Frangipane ("Halsey"), Leaving Things Behind Touring, Inc. ("Leaving Things Behind"), Halsey Music, LLC ("Halsey Music"), and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive (together, "Defendants") for misclassifying Plaintiff as exempt from overtime in order to avoid complying with California laws protecting non-exempt employees. Plaintiff seeks unpaid wages, penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, and costs and expenses.
- 2. Moreover, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants illegally and wrongfully terminated her in retaliation for complaining about Defendants' illegal and unlawful practice of failing to pay her overtime wages and on the basis of her disability and/or perceived disability. Plaintiff seeks lost earnings, actual and general compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Superior Court of the State of California has jurisdiction in this matter because Plaintiff is a resident in the State of California and Defendants are qualified to do business in and regularly conduct business in California. Further, no federal question is at issue because the claims are based solely on California law.
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district and the County of Los Angeles, California, because Plaintiff performed work for Defendants in the County of Los Angeles for Defendants and Defendants' illegal policies and practices that are the subject of this action were applied, at least in part, to Plaintiff in the County of Los Angeles.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is an individual who at all times relevant is a resident of State of California.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant Halsey is now, and at all times mentioned in this complaint, was, an individual residing in California, County of Los Angeles.
 - 7. On information and belief, Defendant Leaving Things Behind Touring is a

foreign corporation and qualified to do business in California.

- 8. On information and belief, Defendant Halsey Music is a foreign company and qualified to do business in California.
- 9. Plaintiff does not know the true names or capacities, whether individual, partner, or corporate, of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and for that reason, said defendants are sued under such fictitious names, and Plaintiff prays for leave to amend this complaint when the true names and capacities are known. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of defendants designated as a DOE were responsible in some way for the matters alleged herein and proximately caused Plaintiff and members of the general public to be subject to the illegal employment practices, wrongs, and injuries complained of herein.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at all times material to this complaint, Defendants were the joint employers of Plaintiff. Defendants directly or indirectly, itself or through its agents, employed or exercised control over the wages, hours, and/or working conditions of Plaintiff and/or had the right to control the manner or means of accomplishing the result desired. Moreover, Defendants had the power to prevent, but failed to prevent, the violations articulated herein from occurring, despite their knowledge of the same.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each and every one of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to, all Defendants, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control of, each of the other Defendants, and that said acts and failures to act were within the course and scope of said agency, employment, and/or direction and control.
- 12. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants under employment agreements that were partly written, partly oral, and/or partly implied. In perpetrating the acts and omissions alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted pursuant to, and in furtherance of, their policies and practices of not paying Plaintiff all wages earned and due, though methods and schemes that include, but are not limited to, misclassifying Plaintiff as an independent contractor, failing to pay overtime premiums, failing to provide meal and rest breaks and/or compensation therefor, failing to properly maintain records, failing to provide

accurate itemized statements for each pay period, and requiring, suffering, or permitting employees to work off the clock, in violation of California Labor Code and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission ("IWC") Wage Order.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO AT LEAST ONE CAUSE OF ACTION

- 13. In 2021, Plaintiff entered into an employment contract with Leaving Things Behind Touring to work for Halsey as a live-in personal attendant for her newborn child. Plaintiff was paid every two weeks by Halsey Music based on an annual salary. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants Halsey, Leaving Things Behind Touring, and Halsey Music were her employers or joint employers.
- 14. Plaintiff's duties included caring for the child, preparing meals, cleaning, laundry, cleaning breast pump materials, organizing the nursery, and running errands as needed. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants illegally misclassified her as exempt from overtime under California law.
- 15. Throughout the majority of her employment, Plaintiff worked around the clock on consecutive days with little to no day of rest. In or around October 2021, Plaintiff began complaining to Defendants about her long working hours and Defendants' failure to pay her overtime wages.
- 16. In response to Plaintiff's ongoing complaints, in December 2021, Defendants made a one-time payment to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000 for her accumulated overtime. However, despite multiple requests by Plaintiff, Defendants offered no explanation as to how the lump sum payment compensated Plaintiff for all of her overtime hours to date. Moreover, notwithstanding Defendants' acknowledgment that Plaintiff is owed overtime wages under California law, Defendants continued to deny Plaintiff such wages even though Plaintiff continued to work significant amounts of overtime hours caring for Halsey's child.
- 17. On or about March 16, 2022, Plaintiff sent Defendants a text message informing Defendants that Plaintiff may need to undergo a medical procedure that would require her to take a leave of absence from work. A few days later, without any warning or response to Plaintiff's text message, Defendants responded by terminating Plaintiff.
 - 18. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants wrongfully terminated her in retaliation for

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23.

fully set forth herein.

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

complaining about Defendants' violation of law and on the basis of Plaintiff's disability and/or perceived disability.

ADMINISTRATIVE PREREQUISITES

- 19. Plaintiff has satisfied all private, administrative, and judicial prerequisites prior to initiating this action.
- 20. Plaintiff timely filed charges with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) against Defendant for the wrongful acts alleged herein, and was issued a rightto-sue letter by the DFEH. (See Exhibit A attached hereto.)
- 21. This action is not preempted by the California Workers' Compensation Act because the claims are brought under the Labor Code and the Fair Housing Employment Act ("FEHA"), which are not risks or conditions of employment subject to workers' compensation law.
- 22. This action is not preempted by any collective bargaining agreement, the National Labor Relations Act or other federal law because these claims arise out of violations of the public policies of the State of California as set forth in the California Constitution, California Fair Employment & Housing Act at Government Code § 12900 et. seq., and other state laws.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Cal. Labor Code § 1454) (Against All Defendants)

Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though

- 24. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1454, Defendants are required to compensate Plaintiff for all overtime at a rate of one and one-half (1½) times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of nine (9) hours in any workday and for all hours worked
- more than forty-five (45) hours in the workweek.
- 25. Plaintiff regularly worked over nine hours a day and forty-five hours a week without overtime compensation. As such, Defendants failed to pay overtime at one and one-half (1½) times the regular rate of pay in violation of the California Labor Code and the applicable

IWC Wage Order.

26. As a direct and proximate cause of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages and lost interest on such wages. Plaintiff is entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to her by Defendants, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Wages on a Timely Basis (Cal. Labor Code §§ 204, 210) (Against all Defendants)

- 27. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Labor Code section 204 requires that "[a]ll wages . . . earned by any person in any employment are due and payable twice during each calendar month, on days designated by the employer as the regular paydays."
- 29. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff her overtime wages in the pay periods in which they were earned by Plaintiff is a violation of labor Code section 204.
- 30. Labor Code section 210 provides that "in addition to, an entirely independent and apart from, any other penalty provided in this article, every person who fails to pay the wages of each employee as provided in Sections . . . 204 . . . shall be subject to a civil penalty as follows:

 (1) For any initial violation, one hundred dollars (\$100) for each failure to pay each employee;

 (2) For each subsequent violation, or any willful or intentional violation, two hundred dollars (\$200) for each failure to pay each employee, plus 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld."
- 31. As a direct and proximate cause of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages and lost interest on such wages. Plaintiff is entitled to recover penalties against Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial pursuant to Labor Code section 210.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Reimburse Business Expenses (Cal. Labor Code §§ 2802, 2800, 1197.1)

(Against all Defendants)

- 32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were required to indemnify their employees for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of the employees' duties, or of the employees' obedience to the Defendants' directions.
- 34. Throughout her employment, Plaintiff was required to use her own personal device to, among other things, respond to work-related phone calls, e-mails and/or text messages with Defendants.
- 35. Defendants' failure to reimburse Plaintiff for her necessary business expenses as described herein is unlawful and creates an entitlement to recovery by Plaintiff for all damages and/or penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2802, including interest thereon, penalties, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of suit according to the mandate of California Labor Code section 2802.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Waiting Time Penalties (Cal. Labor Code §§ 201-203)

(Against All Defendants)

- 36. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. Labor Code sections 201 and 202 require Defendants to promptly pay all wages owed to an employee at the conclusion of employment.
- 38. Defendants' failure to pay wages, as alleged above was willful in that Defendants knew wages to be due but failed to pay them, thus entitling Plaintiff to penalties under Labor Code section 203, which provides that an employee's wages shall continue as a penalty until paid for a period of up to thirty (30) days from the time they were due.
- 39. As a direct and proximate cause of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages and lost interest on such wages. Plaintiff is entitled to recover penalties against

Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial pursuant to Labor Code section 203, which provides that an employee's wages shall continue as a penalty until paid, for a period of up to thirty (30) days from the time they were due.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Disability Discrimination (Gov't Code § 12940)(a))

(Against all Defendants)

- 40. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 41. Defendants are employers subject to Government Code section 12940 et seq.
- 42. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff with a disability or a perceived disability that limited her to major life activities as described herein.
- 43. Despite her disabilities or perceived disabilities, Plaintiff was able to perform the essential functions of her job with or without reasonable accommodations.
- 44. Defendants knew of Plaintiff's disabilities or perceived disabilities and knew or should have known that they fell within the definition of a disability under Government Code section 12926. Defendants further knew or should have known that despite her disabilities or perceived disabilities, Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her job with or without reasonable accommodations.
- 45. Despite their knowledge of the foregoing, Defendants aided, abetted, incited, participated in, coerced, and/or compelled unlawful employment practices in violation of California's Fair Employment and Housing Act.
- 46. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of said Defendants, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income and benefits in an amount to be proven at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest under Civil Code section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.
- 47. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and claims general damages for mental and emotional distress and aggravation in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.

- 48. The above-described acts of Defendants, by and through their managing agents, officers, or directors, were engaged in with a deliberate, cold, callous, fraudulent, and intentional manner in order to injure and damage Plaintiff and/or with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Such acts were despicable, and constitute malice, fraud, and/or oppression within the meaning of Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff requests an assessment of punitive damages against Defendants in an amount to be determined at time of trial.
- 49. Plaintiff will also seek and is entitled to recover attorney's fees in connection with this cause of action under Government Code section 12940 *et seq*.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Accommodate (Gov't Code § 12940(m))

(Against all Defendants)

- 50. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 51. Defendants are employers subject to Government Code section 12940 et seq.
- 52. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff with a disability or a perceived disability that limited her to major life activities as described herein.
- 53. Despite her disabilities or perceived disabilities, Plaintiff was able to perform the essential functions of her job with or without reasonable accommodations.
- 54. Defendants knew of Plaintiff's disabilities or perceived disabilities and knew or should have known that they fell within the definition of a disability under Government Code section 12926. Defendants further knew or should have known that despite her disabilities or perceived disabilities, Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her job with or without reasonable accommodations.
- 55. Defendants also knew or should have known, of the need to accommodate Plaintiff's disabilities or perceived disabilities, including the need to engage in the interactive process to determine how to achieve a reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff. However, Defendants failed and refused to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff despite her specific requests for consideration and accommodation.

- 56. Instead of engaging in the interactive process, Defendants took adverse action against Plaintiff, including by failing and refusing to accommodate her disabilities or perceived disabilities and by terminating her employment instead of providing an extended leave as an accommodation.
- 57. In engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendants aided, abetted, incited, participated in, coerced, and/or compelled unlawful employment practices in violation of California's Fair Employment and Housing Act.
- 58. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of said Defendants, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income and benefits in an amount to be proven at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest under Civil Code section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.
- 59. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and claims general damages for mental and emotional distress and aggravation in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 60. The above-described acts of Defendants, by and through their managing agents, officers, or directors, were engaged in with a deliberate, cold, callous, fraudulent, and intentional manner in order to injure and damage Plaintiff and/or with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Such acts were despicable, and constitute malice, fraud, and/or oppression within the meaning of Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff requests an assessment of punitive damages against Defendants in an amount to be determined at time of trial.
- 61. Plaintiff will also seek and is entitled to recover attorney's fees in connection with this cause of action under Government Code section 12940 *et seq*.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Engage in the Interactive Process (Gov't Code § 12940(n)) (Against all Defendants)

- 62. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 63. Defendants are employers subject to Government Code section 12940 et seq.

- 64. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an employee with disabilities or perceived disabilities that limited a major life activity as described herein.
- 65. Despite her disabilities or perceived disabilities, Plaintiff was able to perform the essential functions of her job with or without reasonable accommodations.
- 66. Defendants, and each of them, knew of Plaintiff's disabilities or perceived disabilities and knew or should have known that they fell within the definition of a disability under Government Code section 12926. Defendants further knew or should have known that despite her disabilities or perceived disabilities, Plaintiff could perform the essential function of her job with or without reasonable accommodations.
- 67. Defendants also knew, or should have known, of the need to accommodate Plaintiff's disabilities or perceived disabilities, including the need to engage in the interactive process to determine how to achieve a reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff. However, Defendants failed and refused to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff despite her specific request(s) for consideration of accommodations.
- 68. Instead of engaging in the interactive process, Defendants took adverse action against Plaintiff, including by failing and refusing to accommodate her disabilities or perceived disabilities and by terminating her employment.
- 69. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendants aided, abetted, incited, participated in, coerced, and/or compelled unlawful employment practices in violation of California's Fair Employment and Housing Act.
- 70. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of said Defendants, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income and benefits in an amount to be proven at time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest under Civil Code section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.
- 71. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and claims general damages for mental and emotional distress and aggravation in an amount to be proved at the time of trial.
 - 72. The above-described acts of Defendants, by and through their managing agents,

officers, or directors, were engaged with a deliberate, cold, callous, fraudulent, and intentional manner in order to injure and damage Plaintiff and/or with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Such acts were despicable, and constitute malice, fraud, and/or oppression within the meaning of Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff requests an assessment of punitive damages against Defendants in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

73. Plaintiff will also seek and is entitled to recover attorney's fees in connection with this cause of action under Government Code section 12940 *et seq*.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Retaliation in Violation of the FEHA (Gov't Code § 12940(h))

(Against all Defendants)

- 74. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. Defendants entities or individuals subject to Government Code section 12940 *et seq.*
- 76. During her employment by Defendants, Plaintiff engaged in legally protected activity by seeking reasonable accommodations, by seeking possible medical leave, and by seeking medical treatment related to her disabilities.
- 77. After Plaintiff engaged in the foregoing described protected activity, she was subjected to adverse employment actions as described above, including the termination of her employment.
- 78. The foregoing described adverse employment actions were taken in part or in whole because of Plaintiff's legally protected activity.
- 79. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendants aided, abetted, incited, participated in, corrected, and/or compelled unlawful employment practices in violation of California's Fair Employment and Housing Act.
- 80. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of said Defendants, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income and benefits in an amount to be proven at time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest under Civil

Code section 3287 and/or any provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

- 81. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and claims general damages for mental and emotional distress and aggravation in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 82. The above-described acts of Defendants, by and through their managing agents, officers, or directors, were engaged in with a deliberate, cold, callous, fraudulent, and intentional manner in order to injure and damage Plaintiff and/or with conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Such acts were despicable, and constitute malice, fraud, and/or oppression within the meaning of Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff requests an assessment of punitive damages against Defendants in an amount to be determined at time of trial.
- 83. Plaintiff will also seek and is entitled to recover attorney's fees in connection with this cause of action under Government Code section 12940 *et seq*.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Prevent, Discrimination and/or Retaliation (Gov't Code § 12940(k)) (Against all Defendants)

- 84. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 85. Defendants and their agents/employees are entities or individuals subject to Government Code section 12940 *et seq*.
- 86. Said Defendants failed to take all responsible steps necessary to prevent discrimination and retaliation in employment from occurring. Further, said Defendants knew or should have known of the discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff described above related to Plaintiff's disabilities, yet failed to conduct an adequate investigation into the nature and substance of the discrimination/retaliation and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action so as to discipline any of the offenders.
- 87. The response of Defendants and/or their agents/employees to that knowledge was so inadequate as to establish a deliberate indifference to, or tacit authorization of, the alleged offensive practices, and an affirmative causal link existed between Defendants' inaction and the

injuries suffered by Plaintiff.

- 88. By failing to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and retaliation and by failing to properly investigate and remedy the discrimination and retaliation that occurred, Defendants committed unlawful employment practices as described and prohibited in Government Code section 12940(k).
- 89. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Defendants aided, abetted, incited, participated in, coerced, and/or compelled unlawful employment practices in violation of California's Fair Employment and Housing Act.
- 90. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of said Defendants, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income and benefits in an amount to be proven at time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest under Civil Code section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.
- 91. As a direct and foreseeable result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and claims general damages for mental and emotional distress and aggravation in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 92. The above-described acts of Defendants, by and through their managing agents, officers, or directors, were engaged in with a deliberate, cold, callous, fraudulent, and intentional manner in order to injure and damage Plaintiff and/or with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Such acts were despicable, and constitute malice, fraud, and/or oppression within the meaning of Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff requests an assessment of punitive damages against Defendants in an amount to be determined at time of trial.
- 93. Plaintiff will also seek and is entitled to recover attorney's fees in connection with this cause of action under Government Code section 12940 *et seq*.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Labor Code § 1102.5

(Against all Defendants)

94. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 95. At all relevant times, Labor Code section 1102.5 was in effect and was binding on Defendants. This statute prohibits Defendants from retaliating against any employee, including Plaintiff, for raising complaints of illegality.
- 96. Plaintiff raised complaints of illegality while she worked for Defendants, and Defendants retaliated against Plaintiff by discriminating against her and taking adverse employment actions, including employment termination, against her.
- 97. As a proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional violations of Labor Code section 1102.5, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to their damage in a sum according to proof.
- 98. As a result of Defendants' adverse employment actions against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered general and special damages in sums according to proof.
- 99. Defendants' misconduct was committed intentionally, in a malicious, oppressive, fraudulent manner, entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages against Defendants.
- 100. Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorneys' fees. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs according to proof.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

- For compensatory damages including lost wages, earnings, and all other sums of money, together with interest on these amounts at prevailing rates and according to proof;
- For punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial sufficient to punish, penalize and/or deter Defendants;
- 3. For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 201 and 203;
- 4. For reasonable attorney fees and cost of suit; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL Plaintiff hereby demands trial of her claims by jury to the extent authorized by law. DATED: June 9, 2022 KIM LEGAL, APC HELEN KIM LAW, APC By: /s/ Frank H. Kim FRANK H. KIM Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A



DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

KEVIN KISH, DIRECTOR

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 (800) 884-1684 (Voice) I (800) 700-2320 (TTY) | California's Relay Service at 711 http://www.dfeh.ca.gov I Email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

April 28, 2022

Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint RE:

> DFEH Matter Number: 202204-16836828 Right to Sue: Funches / Frangipane et al.

To All Respondent(s):

Enclosed is a copy of a complaint of discrimination that has been filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) in accordance with Government Code section 12960. This constitutes service of the complaint pursuant to Government Code section 12962. The complainant has requested an authorization to file a lawsuit. A copy of the Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue is enclosed for your records.

This matter may qualify for DFEH's Small Employer Family Leave Mediation Pilot Program. Under this program, established under Government Code section 12945.21, a small employer with 5 -19 employees, charged with violation of the California Family Rights Act, Government Code section 12945.2, has the right to participate in DFEH's free mediation program. Under this program both the employee requesting an immediate right to sue and the employer charged with the violation may request that all parties participate in DFEH's free mediation program. The employee is required to contact the Department's Dispute Resolution Division prior to filing a civil action and must also indicate whether they are requesting mediation. The employee is prohibited from filing a civil action unless the Department does not initiate mediation within the time period specified in section 12945.21, subdivision (b) (4), or until the mediation is complete or is unsuccessful. The employee's statute of limitations to file a civil action, including for all related claims not arising under section 12945.2, is tolled from the date the employee contacts the Department regarding the intent to pursue legal action until the mediation is complete or is unsuccessful. You may contact DFEH's Small Employer Family Leave Mediation Pilot Program by emailing DRDOnlinerequests@dfeh.ca.gov and include the DFEH matter number indicated on the Right to Sue notice.

Please refer to the attached complaint for a list of all respondent(s) and their contact information.

No response to DFEH is requested or required.

Sincerely,

KEVIN KISH, DIRECTOR



DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 (800) 884-1684 (Voice) I (800) 700-2320 (TTY) | California's Relay Service at 711 http://www.dfeh.ca.gov I Email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

BEFORE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING Under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act 3 (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.) 4 In the Matter of the Complaint of 5 Ashley Funches DFEH No. 202204-16836828 6 Complainant, VS. 7 Ashley Frangipane 8 Calabasas, CA 91302 9 10 Leaving Things Behind Touring, Inc. 345 Park Avenue South 11 New York, NY 10003 12 Halsey Music, LLC 13 Calabasas, CA 91302 14 Respondents 15 16 1. Respondent Ashley Frangipane is an employer subject to suit under the California Fair 17 Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.). 18 2. Complainant is naming Leaving Things Behind Touring, Inc. business as Co-Respondent(s). 19 Complainant is naming Halsey Music, LLC business as Co-Respondent(s). 20 3. Complainant Ashley Funches, resides in the City of Los Angeles, State of CA. 21 4. Complainant alleges that on or about March 21, 2022, respondent took the 22 following adverse actions: 23 Complainant was discriminated against because of complainant's disability (physical or mental), medical condition (cancer or genetic characteristic) and as a result of the 24 discrimination was terminated. 25

Complaint – DFEH No. 202204-16836828

COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION

1

26

27

28

Date Filed: April 28, 2022

1	related accommodation and as a result was terminated.
2	
3	Additional Complaint Details: Based on my disability and/or perceived disability and my notification to my supervisors related thereto, my former employer discriminated and
4	I retaliated against me by taking the following actions, including, but not limited to, terminating
5	my employment.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
19	
20	
-0 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	-2-
27	-2- Complaint – DFEH No. 202204-16836828
28	Date Filed: April 28, 2022
-~	Form DFEH-ENF 80 RS (Revised 02/22)

1	VERIFICATION
2	I, Frank Kim , am the Attorney in the above-entitled complaint. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The matters alleged are based on information and belief, which I believe to be true.
4	On April 28, 2022, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
5	California that the foregoing is true and correct.
6	Los Angeles, California
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	-3- Complaint – DFEH No. 202204-16836828
27	Date Filed: April 28, 2022
28	Form DFEH-ENF 80 RS (Revised 02/22)
	I OIII DI LIT-LINI OO NO (NEVISEU 02/22)